## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MC ALLEN DIVISION

| UNITE | ED STATES | OF AMERICA, | ) | CASE NO:  | 7:12-CR-1136-4   |
|-------|-----------|-------------|---|-----------|------------------|
|       |           |             | ) |           | M-13-131         |
|       |           | Plaintiff,  | ) |           |                  |
|       |           |             | ) | CRI       | MINAL            |
|       | vs.       |             | ) |           |                  |
|       |           |             | ) | McAll     | en, Texas        |
| RENE  | GARCIA,   |             | ) |           |                  |
|       |           |             | ) | Thursday, | January 31, 2013 |
|       |           | Defendant.  | ) | (9:24 a.m | n. to 9:43 a.m.) |

## ARRAIGNMENT / DETENTION HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE PETER E. ORMSBY, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Appearances: See next page

Interpreter: Woody Lewis

Court Recorder: Rick Rodriguez

Transcribed By: Exceptional Reporting Services, Inc.

P.O. Box 18668

Corpus Christi, Texas 78480-8668

361 949-2988

THIS TRANSCRIPT HAS BEEN FURNISHED AT PUBLIC EXPENSE UNDER THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT AND MAY BE USED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY COURT ORDER. UNAUTHORIZED REPRODUCTION WILL RESULT IN AN ASSESSMENT AGAINST COUNSEL FOR THE COST OF AN ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY AT THE OFFICIAL RATE. General Order 94-15, United States District Court, Southern District of Texas.

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

|                  |  | 2 |
|------------------|--|---|
| APPEARANCES FOR: |  |   |
| Plaintiff:       | STEVEN SCHAMMEL, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorney 1701 W. Business Hwy. 83 Suite 600 McAllen, Texas 78501 |   |
| Defendant:       | ADOLFO AL ALVAREZ, ESQ. 4409 N. McColl Rd. McAllen, Texas 78504  |   |
|                  |  |   |
|                  |  |   |

|    |                      |        |       |          | 3       |  |  |  |
|----|----------------------|--------|-------|----------|---------|--|--|--|
| 1  | INDEX                |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 2  | GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS | DIRECT | CROSS | REDIRECT | RECROSS |  |  |  |
| 3  | JEAN PAUL RENUAE     | 9      | 13    |          |         |  |  |  |
| 4  |                      |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 5  | COURT'S RULING       | 15     |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 6  |                      |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 7  |                      |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 8  |                      |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 9  |                      |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 10 |                      |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 11 |                      |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 12 |                      |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 13 |                      |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 14 |                      |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 15 |                      |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 16 |                      |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 17 |                      |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 18 |                      |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 19 |                      |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 20 |                      |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 21 |                      |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 22 |                      |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 23 |                      |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 24 |                      |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |
| 25 |                      |        |       |          |         |  |  |  |

I do, your Honor. Mr. Garcia and I

MR. ALVAREZ:

have gone over the Indictment in this case. He understands the nature of the consequences and the penalties that are available in this particular case. He is competent to enter a plea of not guilty and he is requesting pretrial and trial dates.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Garcia, I just want to confirm what Mr. Alvarez has said for purposes of your arraignment here today. And you have been able to review a copy of the Indictment and -- and discuss the charge in the Indictment with your attorney; is that right, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And I also want to be sure that after reviewing the Indictment and going over that with your attorney that you are able to understand the nature of the charge alleged in the Indictment. I believe Mr. Garcia is named in just Count Four of this Indictment. And that you also understand the maximum penalties that could be imposed as to that charge. And sir, you are able to understand those things; is that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And Mr. Alvarez has indicated that you wish to waive the reading of the Indictment. All that means is that we won't read it out loud this morning. And is that correct that you want to waive that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And I understand that you wish to plead

not guilty. I just need to have you answer a plea at this
point. And sir, as to the charge in the Indictment, how do you
wish to plead at this time, guilty or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty.

THE COURT: And I do find based on the representation of counsel that Mr. Garcia is competent for purposes of his arraignment and he's entered a plea of not guilty to the charge being alleged.

This case is assigned to Judge Crane. The Final Pretrial Conference is set for February 28th at 9:00. The jury selection will be March 5th at 9:30. The deadline for motions will be February 8. And the Government's responses will be due February 21st. The deadline for motions for continuance will be February 14th. So those dates will control further proceedings in this case. Your attorney will be able to assist you in addressing this on that schedule.

We also need to address the issue of bond as to Mr. Garcia. There is a presumption against bond that applies in light of the nature of the charge that's being alleged. I will be taking notice of the factual information in the Pretrial Services Report. The presumption is rebuttable but it does shift the burden moving forward to the Defendant.

Mr. Alvarez, the most difficult thing that seems to me here is that -- is this probation and likely revocation proceedings. Mr. Garcia does have ties here,

```
1
               certainly. Was there evidence or argument you wish
 2
    --to present at this time?
              MR. ALVAREZ: Your Honor, I have three witnesses,
 3
    Yacencia Flores (phonetic), Eduardo Lara and Julian Casas, who
 4
 5
    are all present in the courtroom.
 6
              THE COURT: Uh-huh. Okay.
 7
                            If the Court will allow us a short
              MR. ALVAREZ:
 8
    hearing or -- or the Court wants to do it by proffer, it --
 9
    they're all prepared to testify that my client is bondable,
10
    that he is -- has tremendous -- tremendous ties to the
11
    community, that they know him, that -- that he would be meet
12
    all of his conditions of bail, that he is not a flight risk,
13
    and he is not a danger to the community.
14
              We feel that with electronic monitoring and a high
15
    bond that those would be conditions -- accommodation of
    conditions that would assure this Court of his presence at all
16
17
    Court proceedings.
18
              THE COURT: Okay. Well I'll certainly accept that
19
    each of those gentlemen would testify to that effect. So as
20
    far as -- it's up to you if -- I will accept and take into
21
    account the proffer. Does the Government have any objection to
22
    the proffer as far as that's what those --
```

MR. SCHAMMEL: We would just be curious how the people are related to the Defendant.

THE COURT: What's that?

23

24

```
1
              MR. SCHAMMEL: We were just curious how the people
 2
    are related to the Defendant.
 3
              THE COURT: And do you want to go ahead and call
 4
    them, Mr. Alvarez --
 5
              MR. SCHAMMEL: Or if --
              THE COURT: -- or do you want to proffer them?
 6
 7
              MR. ALVAREZ: Mr. Flores, would you please state your
 8
    relationship to Rene Garcia?
 9
              MR. FLORES:
                           I have 11 sisters. (Indiscernible).
10
              MR. ALVAREZ: And Hiro Lara?
11
                         I've known him since (indiscernible).
              MR. LARA:
12
              MR. ALVAREZ: And Mr. Casas?
13
                         He's a great man.
              MR. CASAS:
14
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. All right. And so I'll take those
15
    things into account. And as far as the Government's position,
16
    Mr. Schammel?
17
              MR. SCHAMMEL: Your Honor, we -- we've asked the
18
    Court to file the Pretrial Services Report. This is his second
19
    go-around with a narcotics case. He's on probation already.
20
    And as the Pretrial Services Report reflects, he is looking at
21
    potentially winding up back in custody even on the State case.
22
    Having had the prior disposition in the State case, it wasn't
23
    sufficient enough to keep him away from problems. We've asked
24
    that he be detained pending trial.
25
```

And Mr. Schammel, one of the, you know,

THE COURT:

```
9
                  Renuae - Direct / By Mr. Schammel
1
    factors I'm supposed to take into account as the -- the weight
 2
    of the evidence, we don't want to get into a mini-trial here or
    anything like that but do you have any proffer or anything on
 3
 4
    that --
 5
              MR. SCHAMMEL: Your Honor, I've got the Case Agent
 6
    here who can give the Court a brief synopsis that -- that would
 7
    be of assistance.
 8
              THE COURT: Well it's -- it's more up to you.
 9
    one of the factors I'm required to consider. And so if -- if
10
    you don't want to add anything about that then I can't take
11
    that into account of --
12
              MR. SCHAMMEL: The Government would call Special
13
    Agent J.P. Renuae.
14
              THE COURT: Okay. We'll address that now.
15
                          Please raise your right hand.
16
                J.P. RENUAE, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN
17
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
18
    BY MR. SCHAMMEL:
19
         Please state your name for the record.
20
         Jean Paul Renuae.
21
         Who are you employed by?
22
         United States Homeland Security Investigations in McAllen,
23
    Texas as a special agent.
24
         How long have you been doing that?
25
         Approximately four and a half years.
```

1 How did your investigation on the Defendant Rene Garcia

- 2 begin?
- It began in July of 2012. 3
- And how did that -- how did it start with him? 4
- 5 On July 2nd, 2012, HSI conducted surveillance in Hargill,
- Texas. During that surveillance, Special Agent Kelton Harrison 6
- 7 (phonetic) was shot while performing surveillance in regards to
- a narcotics transaction that we believed was going to occur in 8
- 9 Hargill, Texas.
- 10 And specifically, at what point did the Defendant,
- 11 Mr. Rene Garcia, become a person involved in this case, to your
- 12 knowledge?
- 13 The following day, July 3rd, we had information that Mr.
- 14 Garcia was possibly involved in the shooting for the attempt to
- 15 transport the narcotics.
- And so what did you do at that time? 16
- 17 At that time on the following day, Mr. Garcia was
- 18 interviewed and then following that interview on July 3rd, we
- 19 simply began investigating the events as well as all the
- 20 evidence that we had from the occurrence on July the 3rd -- the
- 21 morning of, excuse me.
- 22 And what information led you to believe that Mr. Rene
- 23 Garcia was involved in the -- was that the conspiracy to
- 24 possess the narcotics?
- 25 Besides observing Mr. Garcia in Hargill on the night of

11

- 1 July the 3rd, several interviews as well as other evidence
- 2 pointed to the fact that Mr. Garcia and others were attempting

Renuae - Direct / By Mr. Schammel

- 3 to steal a load of marijuana in Hargill.
- 4 | Q And who were they attempting to steal the marijuana from?
- 5 A I'm not sure.
- 6 Q Now when they were located in Hargill, how did you -- how
- 7 did you became aware that they were in Hargill preparing to
- 8 | steal the -- the marijuana?
- 9 A Can you rephrase the question?
- 10 | Q How was -- how was it that you became aware of his actions
- 11 | in the case, what -- what began that?
- 12 | A Besides the interview of himself as well as other
- 13 | individuals involved in the case, we have several other pieces
- 14 of evidence that point to Mr. Garcia's involvement not only in
- 15 the assault of the agent in Hargill but also that their plan
- 16 | was to steal the narcotics.
- 17 Q And whose narcotics were they planning to steal?
- 18 A I don't have that information.
- 19 | Q What specifically did this Defendant do to assist the
- 20 conspiracy?
- 21 A This Defendant as well as several other defendants were
- 22 | performing surveillance on a load of marijuana in Hargill,
- 23 | Texas. Based on the information that we have, they were
- 24 | planning to steal that marijuana once the time was right for
- 25 them.

- 1 Q And what preparations had they made to steal the marijuana
- 2 besides the surveillance?
- 3 A My knowledge is they had done surveillance. They had
- 4 recruited individuals to assist the individuals. Also had a
- 5 | night vision scope so they could perform surveillance during
- 6 | the evening hours.
- 7 Q Now did you make additional contact with the Defendant
- 8 | Rene Garcia?
- 9 A Following his interview on July 3rd, no, I have not.
- 10 | Q Okay. Did you attempt to -- post-Indictment, did you
- 11 | attempt to locate Mr. Rene Garcia?
- 12 A We did, yes, sir.
- 13 | Q And when you located him, what happened?
- 14 | A Several attempts were made to locate Mr. Garcia for
- 15 approximately one month. We were unable to locate him.
- 16 | Finally with the assistance of U.S. Marshals, we did apprehend
- 17 | him on last Friday.
- 18 | Q And was there any issue at the time of apprehension?
- 19 A He did not attempt to flee at the time of apprehension.
- 20 Q What specific did he do?
- 21 A From my knowledge is he attempted to exit the
- 22 | establishment he was in and attempted to climb a fence and
- 23 effect his escape.
- 24 | Q And was this after law enforcement agents identified
- 25 | themselves for the purpose of their presence?

```
Renuae - Cross / By Mr. Alvarez
                                                                13
1
         Correct, it was.
 2
              MR. SCHAMMEL: I pass the witness, your Honor.
 3
                            CROSS EXAMINATION
 4
    BY MR. ALVAREZ:
 5
         Agent Renuae, did you seize 1,000 kilos of marijuana?
 6
         No, sir.
    Α
 7
         Okay. If you didn't, did you ever see 1,000 kilos of
 8
    marijuana?
 9
         No, sir.
10
         Then how was it that they were going to steal 1,000 kilos
11
    of marijuana if no one ever saw it?
12
         I didn't say no one saw it; I didn't see it.
13
         Okay. Well you're the agent in charge of this case,
14
    aren't you?
15
         Correct. Yes, sir.
16
         Did you see my client shoot any other agent?
17
         No, sir.
18
         Did you find any weapons of my client?
19
         No, sir.
20
         Did anybody involved with these individuals who are
21
    charged in the Alvarado, did they tell you that my client had
22
    shot a weapon?
23
         No, sir. Not to my knowledge.
24
         Did the agents that were shot, did they tell you that my
25
    client was involved in the shooting?
```

```
Case 7:12-cr-01136 Document 195 Filed in TXSD on 03/29/13 Page 14 of 19
                                                                    14
                    Renuae - Cross / By Mr. Alvarez
 1
    Α
         They did.
 2
         Okay. And what exactly -- what was his role in the
 3
    shootings?
         His role I'm not 100 percent sure on. Based on the agent
 4
 5
    that was assaulted, he said the client -- your client, excuse
    me, was present and tried to pin him in during the assault on
 6
 7
    Special Agent Harrison.
 8
          "Pin him in", what does that mean?
 9
          Block his vehicle from proceeding southbound on 493.
10
         And what vehicle was he driving?
         A F250, I believe, maroon over tan.
11
12
         He was driving an unmarked vehicle, correct?
13
          Okay, you're referring to --
14
          The agent.
15
          -- the agent, yes, sir.
16
          Were they armed at the time?
17
         Not to my knowledge.
18
          So at what time of night did this happen?
19
         Approximately 3:00 a.m.
20
          So my client, even in that instant, was unarmed, correct?
21
          I don't know if your client was armed or not.
22
          Well you spoke to him.
    Q
23
          Correct. His statement was he was not armed.
24
          Okay. He -- he told you he wasn't armed, he told you he
25
```

didn't see any marijuana, he told you he wasn't involved in the

```
15
                   Renuae - Cross / By Mr. Alvarez
1
    conspiracy. He completely denied any involvement in this
 2
    instant; isn't that true?
         I have not talked to your client about the marijuana
 3
    conspiracy. I don't have the answer to that.
 4
 5
         Well that's the only thing you're charging him with; isn't
    that true?
 6
 7
         Correct. Correct.
         And you're not charging him with shooting an agent?
 8
 9
         No, sir.
10
              MR. ALVAREZ: I pass the witness, your Honor.
11
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
12
              MR. SCHAMMEL: Nothing further, your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir.
14
         (Witness excused)
15
              THE COURT: Okay. Anything else that the Government
16
    wishes to add, Mr. Schammel?
17
              MR. SCHAMMEL: No, your Honor.
18
              THE COURT:
                          And Mr. Alvarez, was there anything else
19
    before addressing the issue of bond that you wanted to add?
20
              MR. ALVAREZ: Not at this time, your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. And as to determining bond,
21
22
    I'm required to apply the factors under the Bail Reform Act.
23
              In looking at those, the first factor is the nature
24
    and seriousness of the alleged offense. And Mr. Garcia is
25
    named in one count of the Indictment alleging a large amount of
```

-- of marijuana that was involved. Obviously, the Government is going to need to be able to -- to have sufficient evidence of that one way or another. And on the weight of the evidence, that's another factor.

There does appear to be evidence linking Mr. Garcia to that area at that time and that evening. Obviously, a lot is going to depend on the credibility of individuals who are implicating him in this alleged attempt to, I guess, steal a -- a load of marijuana from someone else as -- as far as what I understood was being suggested there. So that's -- there is probable cause based on the Grand Jury's finding as to Mr. Garcia.

The evidence, I don't believe, points strongly either way here since, you know, I'm just going -- again it's going to be up to -- is going to depend a lot on what the witnesses say as far as Mr. Garcia's involvement there and whether or not that might be accepted by a -- a fact finder.

So the other factors are positive factors with regard to Mr. Garcia. I do note and take into account these witnesses that are here that would testify that he has ties here and that he would make his Court appearances and that they would also suggest that he's not a danger to the community.

The Pretrial Services Report reflects positive information in that he is a lifetime resident of the area. He is a United States citizen. There's no -- there's no

immigration consequences we need to worry about here.

He does have a -- family ties here and he has siblings from his -- in his family that are -- he indicates that he remains close to his mother and siblings. So those are positive circumstances. He's got at least one child that's, I guess, living with him now in a part of a common law relationship. He has another child that -- from a prior relationship although he doesn't support there, apparently.

He has worked in the past but had been unemployed for some time now. He couldn't remember the names of the companies that he had worked for previously. So as far as his employment situation, that's not particularly positive in that he's not working right now and it's hard to tell as far as his employment history what might happen there.

The biggest concern, it would be easy to set conditions for -- not easy but easier for sure. The biggest concern is that he does have a felony marijuana drug conviction from 2008. He was placed on ten years' probation on that. The alleged offense in this case occurred while he was on probation from his prior case.

In light of that circumstance and taking into account all of the factors, including the positive factors that are present, I do find that an Order of Detention should be entered. Also, considering the circumstances, where it's difficult for the -- the Government to find and then arrest Mr.

```
Garcia, but the main problem here really is that he was already on probation from another case. In other words, he was already under judicial supervision at the time of the alleged offense here.
```

I've considered that, you know, monitoring and other conditions that we have that -- and we use in -- in some cases but I don't feel that they would be sufficient or appropriate in this particular case given all those circumstances. So I am going to enter an Order of Detention.

Again, Mr. Garcia, your attorney will be able to help you in going forward with this. Also if there is any material new information or any change in circumstances, your attorney can move to reopen the hearing. I mean this is a -- a case where there are positive factors as I indicated. So if there were something new there, that would be something that may well be or should be considered further in terms of the bond ruling here today.

Was there anything else we ought to take up as to Mr. Garcia here today, Mr. Alvarez?

MR. ALVAREZ: Not at this time, your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You-all can be excused

22 then.

This proceeding was adjourned at 9:43 a.m.)

## CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Join I Vidan

March 29, 2013

Signed

Dated

TONI HUDSON, TRANSCRIBER